

Southern California Edison
2026-WMPs – 2026-WMPs

DATA REQUEST SET S P D - S C E - W M P 2 0 2 6 - 0 0 3

To: SPD

Prepared by: Russell Archer

Job Title: Director, Forecast Ratemaking

Received Date: 7/21/2025

Response Date: 7/24/2025

Question 04.a-c:

In response to Questions 12 and 13 of SPD_SCE_WMP2026-002, SCE explained that the difference in circuit mile scope for covered conductor and undergrounding was due to SCE proposing a two-way Grid Hardening Balancing Account (GHBA) in the 2025 Test Year GRC.

- a. Provide a copy of the GHBA proposal SCE submitted in the 2025 Test Year GRC.
- b. Explain why the two-way GHBA proposed in the 2025 GRC would have impacted the change of scope for undergrounding in the WMP.
- c. Explain why the two-way GHBA proposed in the 2025 GRC would have impacted the change of scope for covered conductor in the WMP.

Response to Question 04.a-c:

In response to Questions 12 and 13 of SPD_SCE_WMP2026-002, SCE did not state that the difference in circuit mile scope for covered conductor and undergrounding was “due to” SCE’s proposal to establish the Grid Hardening Balancing Account (GHBA). Instead, SCE’s description of its GHBA proposal was intended to illustrate that changes to covered conductor and targeted undergrounding (TUG) proposed and authorized scope, execution, funding, and recorded spend would all be reflected in a two-way balancing account, if SCE’s ratemaking proposal is adopted in the 2025 GRC.

- a. See A.23-05-010, Exhibit SCE-07 Vol. 01, Results of Operations, pp. 30-32.
- b. See response above.
- c. See response above.